

PROOF OF ASSESSMENT

GLOBALG.A.P RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP)

Assessment No.	Date of Assessment	Date of Upload	Valid until
211529-2016-GLOBALGAP-NOR-DNV	2018-09-03	2018-11-19	2019-09-19

Registration No.: DNV
CERT13902016GGNORACCREDIA

GGN Number.: 4052852632539

Issued to

Cermaq Norway AS

Gjerkbakknes, 8286 Nordfold

Country of production: **Norway**

GLOBALG.A.P.

OPT 1-Individual Producer

According to GRASP General Regulations V1.3 July 2015

The Annex 1 contains details of the GRASP results (GRASP Check List)

DNV GL Business Assurance Italia S.r.l. declares that the producer mentioned on this proof has been assessed according to the GLOBALG.A.P. Risk Assessment on Social Practice V1.3 July 2015

Assessment Result:
Fully compliant

Place and date:

Vimercate (MB), 2018-11-22

Kari-Anne Lenvik

Lead auditor



for the Accredited Unit:

DNV GL Business Assurance Italia S.r.l.

Zeno Beltrami

Management Representative



GGN: 4052852632539

Registration number of producer/
producer group (from CB): DNV
CERT13902016GGNORACCREDIA

GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP)

PROOF OF ASSESSMENT

**According to
GRASP General Rules V1.3 July 2015
Option 1**

**Issued to
Producer Cermaq Norway AS
Gjerkbaknes, 8286 NORDFOLD, Norway**



The Annex contains details of the GRASP results.

The Certification Body DNV GL Business Assurance Italia S.r.l. declares that the producer group mentioned on this proof has been assessed according to the GLOBALG.A.P. Risk Assessment on Social Practice Version 1.3 July 2015.

Overall assessment result: Fully compliant

GGN: 4052852632539

Assessment result in detail:

Control Point 1	Fully compliant
Control Point 2	Fully compliant
Control Point 3	Fully compliant
Control Point 4	Fully compliant
Control Point 5	Fully compliant
Control Point 6	Fully compliant
Control Point 7	Fully compliant
Control Point 8	Fully compliant
Control Point 9	Not applicable
Control Point 10	Fully compliant
Control Point 11	Fully compliant

Date of Assessment: 03-09-2018

Date of Upload: 19-11-2018

Validity: 20-09-2018 - 19-09-2019 (depending on GLOBALG.A.P. certificate validity)

The actual status of this proof is always displayed at: <https://database.globalgap.org>

GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE

GRASP Checklist - Version 1.3

Checklist Individual Producer (Option 1)

Valid from: 1 July 2015

Mandatory from: 1 October 2015



1. CERTIFICATE HOLDER REGISTRATION DATA								
Producer GGN/GLN:*	4052852632539			Registration N°:				
Company name:*	Cermaq Norway AS			Address:*	Gjerbakknes, 8286 Nordfold, NORWAY			
Telephone:*	99577724							
Email:				Fax:				
Assessment date:*	03/09/2018			Contact person:*	Kristin Hurum			
Previous assessment date(s):								
Does the producer have any other external audits or certification covering social practices? If yes, which?								
Standard 1:	Standard 2:		Standard 3:		Standard 4:			
Valid to:	Valid to:		Valid to:		Valid to:			
Has the Certification Body detected any significant breach of legal requirement concerning labor conditions?					<input type="checkbox"/>	YES	<input type="checkbox"/>	NO
Has the Certification Body reported this finding to the local/national responsible and competent authority?					<input type="checkbox"/>	YES	<input type="checkbox"/>	NO
Comments:								
Company description: Farming of Atlantic salmon, including freshwater and seawater production, harvesting, processing, sales and distribution.								
Did the management sign a self-declaration saying that if there were employees GRASP would be implemented?					<input type="checkbox"/>	YES	<input type="checkbox"/>	NO
* Mandatory field								

null		YES	NO
	Is produce handling sub-contracted?	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
	Does the produce handling facility(ies) have any social standards implemented?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO If yes, which? GRASP
		If yes:	Name of the PH company:
			GGN/GLN of the PH company (if applicable):
Name and location of the assessed PH Facilities:			
PH Facility 1	Steigen N2284, Steigen Kommune	PH Facility 4	
PH Facility 2	Rypefjord F430, Hammerfest Kommune	PH Facility 5	
PH Facility 3		PH Facility 6	
Does the company subcontract any other activities?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
If yes, which one?		Are the subcontracted activities included in the GRASP assessment?	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pest and rodent control	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
	<input type="checkbox"/> Crop protection	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
	null	YES	NO
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Others (please specify): Well-boat, vaccination, divers.	<input type="checkbox"/> YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO

2. STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYMENT

Month(s) of peak season (if applicable):							% of employees living in accommodation provided by the company (if applicable):			
Nationalities of employees	Sweden, Finland, Denmark, Russia, Lithuania, Estonia, Latvia, Romania, Netherland, Spain, Thailand, Somalia, Afghanistan, Iraq, England, Germany, Faroe Islands, Canada, Island, Slovakia									
Total number of employees	Local			Cross-Border Migrants			National Migrants			Total
	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	
in agricultural production	202	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	264
in product handling facility(ies)	142	63	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	205
Total	344	125	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	469

3. PRESENCE DURING THE ASSESSMENT

	SITE MANAGEMENT		PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF GRASP		EMPLOYEES' REPRESENTATIVE	
Names ¹ :						
Present at the opening meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
Present at the assessment?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
Present at the closing meeting?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO










OVERALL ASSESSMENT RESULT: *(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)*











Fully compliant











Assessment results reviewed with company management?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES	<input type="checkbox"/> NO
Name of certification body:	DNV GL	Duration of the assessment: 6
Name of assessor:	Kari-Anne Lenvik	
Name of company management:	Kristin Hurum	






















¹ Only mention the names if the persons have agreed to release their personal data to be uploaded with the checklist to the GLOBALG.A.P. Database.








GRASP CHECKLIST




N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
EMPLOYEES' REPRESENTATIVE(S)					
1	<p>CP: Is there at least one employee or an employees' council to represent the interests of the staff to the management through regular meetings where labor issues are addressed?</p> <p>CC: Documentation demonstrates that an employees' representative(s) or an employees' council representing the interests of the employees to the management is elected or in exceptional cases nominated by all employees and recognized by the management. The election or nomination takes place in the ongoing year or production period and is communicated to all employees. The employees' representative(s) shall be aware of his/her/their role and rights and be able to discuss complaints and suggestions with the management. Meetings between employees' representative(s) and the management occur at accurate frequency. The dialogue taking place in such meetings is duly documented. N/A if the company employs less than 5 employees.</p>				
1.1	The election/nomination procedure has been defined and communicated to all employees.	 	X		
1.2	Documentation shows that the election and the counting of votes were carried out fairly and openly. In case of representative(s) not elected but nominated, there is a document justifying why elections could not take place.		X		
1.3	The results of the election (name of employees' representative(s) or in case of council composition of the council) were communicated to all employees.	 	X		
1.4	The election/nomination has taken place in the ongoing year or production period. The representation is current (all elected/nominated person(s) according to the list still working for the company).		X		
1.5	The employees' representative(s) is/are recognized by the management and a job description clearly defines his/her/their role and rights. The employees' representative(s) is/are aware of his/her/their role and rights (in case of an employees' council, all members are interviewed).	 	X		
1.6	There is documentary evidence of regular meetings at accurate frequency between the employees' representative(s) and the management, where GRASP related issues are addressed.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 1: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
<p>Evidence/Remarks: The employee's representative for sea sites in Finnmark did not know about any description of roles and rights for an employee's representative. The knowledge of the GRASP standard was lacking for all employees' representatives that were interviewed. Some did not know why they should be audited. Some representatives were new to this role, and a meeting with management where these issues could have been discussed should have been prioritized. The representatives for the new PHU was asked about the process where the old factory was closed and the workers needed to move to the new factory. Several information meetings were held and the dialogue with Management was sufficient in this case.</p>					
<p>Corrective Actions: A documented description of roles and responsibilities for the employee's representative will be made in cooperation with the employee's representative. The employee's representative will be represented by Senior Safety Representatives in each region and not the representatives from the Unions.</p>					




N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
COMPLAINT PROCEDURE					
2	CP: Is there a complaint and suggestion procedure available and implemented in the company through which employees can make a complaint or suggestion? CC: A complaint and suggestion procedure appropriate to the size of the company exists. The employees are regularly informed about its existence, complaints and suggestions can be made without being penalized and are discussed in meetings between the employees' representative(s) and the management. The procedure specifies a timeframe to answer complaints and suggestions and take corrective actions. Complaints, suggestions and their follow-up from the last 24 months are documented.				
2.1	A documented complaint and suggestion procedure is available, appropriate to the size of the company.		X		
2.2	Employees are regularly and actively informed about the complaint and suggestion procedure.	  	X		
2.3	The procedure states clearly that employees will not be penalized for filing complaints or suggestions.		X		
2.4	Complaints and suggestions are discussed in meetings between the employees' representative(s) and the management.		X		
2.5	The procedure sets a timeframe to resolve complaints and suggestions (e.g. during the next month).	  	X		
2.6	The complaints, suggestions and their follow-up are documented and available for the last 24 months.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 2: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: The employee's representatives from NNN did not know about the complaint procedure and warning routines in CASA. A complaint from the employee's representative for a stand-in person working at Hopen delivered the 18/7-18 is still not answered. The requirement in the standard is at the end of the next month latest.					
Corrective Actions: The employee's representative will be represented by Senior Safety Representatives in each region and not the representatives from the Unions. Training in GRASP will be done for these two persons. According to feedback the complaint was responded by phone from HR the same day as the complaint was made, and a mail was sent to Site Manager so he could inform this person the same day.					







N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
SELF-DECLARATION ON GOOD SOCIAL PRACTICES					
3	<p>CP: Has a self-declaration on good social practice regarding human rights been signed by the management and the employees' representative(s) and has this been communicated to the employees?</p> <p>CC: The management and the employees' representative(s) have signed, displayed and put in practice a self-declaration assuring good social practice and human rights of all employees. This declaration contains at least the commitment to the ILO core labor conventions (ILO Conventions: 111 on discrimination, 138 and 182 on minimum age and child labor, 29 and 105 on forced labor, 87 on freedom of association, 98 on the right to organize and collective bargaining, 100 on equal remuneration and 99 on minimum wage) and transparent and non-discriminative hiring procedures and the complaint procedure. The self-declaration states that the employees' representative(s) can file complaints without personal sanctions. The employees have been informed about the self-declaration and it is revised at least every 3 years or whenever necessary.</p>				
3.1	The declaration is complete and contains at least all points referred to ILO core labor conventions.		X		
3.2	The declaration has been signed by the management and by the employees' representative(s).		X		
3.3	The declaration is actively communicated to the employees (e.g. displayed on the production site/in the handling unit/management office or attached to the working contract, information at meetings etc.).	 	X		
3.4	The management, the responsible person for the implementation of GRASP and the employees' representative(s) know the content of the declaration and confirm that it is put into practice.	  	X		
3.5	It is stated that the employees' representative(s) can file complaints without personal sanctions.		X		
3.6	The declaration is checked and revised at least every 3 years or whenever necessary.	 	X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 3: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: The Declaration for good social practise was not possible to find in their Quality System and this was not known by the employee's representatives.					
Corrective Actions: An updated Declaration is made and will be presented for the employees representatives in a meeting October 19th. The Declaration is now available in Intalex.					










N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
ACCESS TO NATIONAL LABOUR REGULATIONS					
4	CP: Do the person responsible for the implementation of GRASP (RGSP) and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge of or access to recent national labor regulations? CC: The person responsible for the implementation of GRASP (RGSP) and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge of or access to national regulations, such as gross and minimum wages, working hours, trade union membership, anti-discrimination, child labor, labor contracts, holiday and maternity leave. Both the RGSP and the employees' representative(s) know the essential points of working conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guidelines.				
4.1	The RGSP provides the employees' representative(s) with the valid labor regulations (e.g. the GRASP National Interpretation Guidelines).	  	X		
4.2	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on gross and minimum wages and deductions from wages.	  	X		
4.3	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on working hours.	  	X		
4.4	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on freedom of association and right to collective bargaining.	  	X		
4.5	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on anti-discrimination.	  	X		
4.6	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on child labor and minimum age of working.	  	X		
4.7	RGSP and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on holiday and maternity leave.	  	X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 4: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: The employees representative has due to the demand in the GRASP standard the right to have knowledge about the works wages and possible deductions. This needs confidentiality agreement between the management and the representative. Information on labor regulations given both from the organisation and internally in the company, through their web based Quality System. Information is also given from the different Unions (Fellesforbundet and NNN):					
Corrective Actions: The reply does not comment the points given in the observation due to a possible misunderstanding. Needs to be discussed in the next audit.					











N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
WORKING CONTRACTS					
5	<p>CP: Can valid copies of working contracts be shown for the employees? Are the working contracts compliant with applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements and do they indicate at least full names, nationality, a job description, date of birth, date of entry, the regular working time, wage and the period of employment? Have they been signed by both the employee and the employer?</p> <p>CC: For every employee, a contract can be shown to the assessor on request on a sample basis. The contracts correspond with the applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements. Both the employees as well as the employer have signed them. Records contain at least full names, nationality, job description, date of birth, date of entry, the regular working time, wage and the period of employment (e.g. permanent, period or day laborer etc.) and for non-national employees their legal status and working permit. The contract does not show any contradiction to the self-declaration on good social practices. Records of the employees must be accessible for at least 24 months.</p>				
5.1	Random checks show availability of written contracts for all employees signed by both parties.		X		
5.2	There is evidence that the employees have the correct contract according to national legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements (as stipulated in the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		X		
5.3	The working contracts include at least basic information on the employee's name, date of birth and nationality according to the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guideline.		X		
5.4	The working contracts or attachments to the contracts include basic information on the contract period (e.g. permanent, period or day laborer etc.), the wage, working hours, breaks, and a basic job description.		X		
5.5	In the contract, there is no contradiction to the self-declaration on good social practice.		X		
5.6	If non-national employees are working for the company, records indicate their legal status for being employed by the company. A respective working permit is available.		X		
5.7	Records of the employees must be accessible for at least 24 months.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 5: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: Verified contract for employee in PHU, all received new in connection with the new PHU in Steigen. The working contract verified for worker in the factory in Steigen was not protected against alteration, this was fixed during the audit, but it is needed to ensure that this is avoided for the future.					
Corrective Actions: Measures are now in place to ensure that contracts cannot be changed after they are signed.					









N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
PAYSLIPS					
6	CP: Is there documented evidence indicating regular payment of salaries corresponding to the contract clause? CC: The employer shows adequate documentation of the regular salary transfer (e.g. employee's signature on pay slip, bank transfer). Employees sign or receive copies of pay slips/pay register that make the payment transparent and comprehensible for them. Regular payment of the employees during the last 24 months is documented.				
6.1	Documented evidence that the payment is made in defined intervals (e.g. pay slips or pay registers) is available for the employees (random checks).		X		
6.2	Pay slips or pay registers indicate that payments are made in accordance with the working contracts (e.g. employee's signature on pay slips, bank transfer etc.).		X		
6.3	The records of payments are kept for at least 24 months.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 6: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: Verified some payslips, payment every 20th in the month, except of harvesting plants where they have a different tariff agreement. For them payment is done on the last day in the month.					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
WAGES					
7	CP: Do pay slips/pay registers indicate the conformity of payment with at least legal regulations and/or collective bargaining agreements? CC: Wages and overtime payment documented on the pay slips/pay registers indicate compliance with legal regulations (minimum wages) and/or collective bargaining agreements as specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline. If payment is calculated per unit, employees shall be able to gain at least the legal minimum wage (on average) within regular working hours.				
7.1	Pay slips or pay registers give clear indication on the number of compensated working time or harvested amount including overtime (hours/days).		X		
7.2	Wages and overtime payments as shown in the records are according to the contracts and indicate compliance with national labor regulations (minimum wages), and/or collective bargaining agreements as specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline.		X		
7.3	Independently from the calculation unit, pay slips/pay registers document that employees gain in average at least the legal minimum wage within regular working times (especially check when piece-rate is implemented). If there are deductions from salaries and employees are being paid below minimum wage, the deductions must be justified in writing.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 7: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: Verified some payslips, easy to find the overtime records. The bonus system is from April to April (Mitsubishi year).					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
NON-EMPLOYMENT OF MINORS					
8	CP: Do records indicate that no minors are employed at the company? CC: Records indicate compliance with national legislation regarding minimum age of employment. If not covered by national legislation, children below the age of 15 are not employed. If children—as core family members—are working at the company, they are not engaged in work that is dangerous to their health and safety, jeopardizes their development, or prevents them from finishing their compulsory school education.				
8.1	Dates of birth on the records show that no employee is aged below the legal minimum age of employment or, if not specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline, under the age of 15.		X		
8.2	If children—as core family members—are working at the company, they are not engaged in work that is dangerous to their health and safety (according to the applicable IFA All Farm Base Module), that -jeopardizes their development or prevents them from finishing their compulsory school education.	    			X
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 8: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: A defined procedure for working with young people, also a checklist in place. No minors working at the moment.					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
ACCESS TO COMPULSORY SCHOOL EDUCATION					
9	CP: Do the children of employees living on the company's production/handling sites have access to compulsory school education? CC: There is documented evidence that children of employees at compulsory schooling age (according to national legislation) living on the company's production/handling sites have access to compulsory school education, either through provided transport to a public school or through on-site schooling.				
9.1	There is a list of all children in the age of compulsory schooling age living on the company's production/handling sites, with sufficient indications on name, name of parents, date of birth, school attendance, etc. Children of management may be excluded.				X
9.2	There is evidence of transport facilities if children cannot reach school within acceptable walking distance (half an hour walking or according to the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).	   			X
9.3	There is evidence of an on-site schooling system when access to schools is not available.	   			X
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 9: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Not applicable		
Evidence/Remarks: Not relevant in Norway.					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
TIME RECORDING SYSTEM					
10	CP: Is there a time recording system that shows daily working time and overtime on a daily basis for the employees? CC: There is a time recording system implemented appropriate to the size of the company that makes working hours and overtime transparent for both employees and employer on a daily basis. Working times of the employees during the last 24 months are documented. Records are regularly approved by the employees and accessible for the employees' representative(s).				
10.1	A time recording system is implemented, appropriate to the size of the company (e.g. time record sheet, check clock, electronic cards, etc.).	 	X		
10.2	The records indicate the regular working time for employees on a daily basis.		X		
10.3	The records indicate the overtime hours as defined by contracts per legislation for all employees on a daily basis.		X		
10.4	The records indicate the breaks/festive days for the employees (on a daily basis).		X		
10.5	The working records are regularly approved by the employees (e.g. regularly signed record sheet, checking clock).		X		
10.6	Access to these records is provided to the employees' representative(s).	  	X		
10.7	The records are kept for at least 24 months.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 10: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: Time recording system verified, accessible for the workers. Time clock in use on the sites, each employee can use Capitec to record and revise working hours.					
Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		
			Y	N	N/A
WORKING HOURS & BREAKS					
11	CP: Do working hours and breaks documented in the time records comply with applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements? CC: Documented working hours, breaks and rest days are in line with applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements. If not regulated more strictly by legislation, records indicate that regular weekly working hours do not exceed a maximum of 48 hours. During peak season (harvest), weekly working time does not exceed a maximum of 60 hours. Rest breaks/days are also guaranteed during peak season.				
11.1	Information on valid labor regulation and/or collective bargaining agreements regarding working hours and breaks is available (e.g. in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).	 	X		
11.2	Working hours including overtime as shown in the records indicate compliance with legal regulations and/or collective bargaining agreements.		X		
11.3	Rest breaks/days as shown in the records indicate compliance with national regulations and/or bargaining agreements.		X		
11.4	If not regulated more strictly by applicable legislation, regular weekly working time does not exceed 48 hours. During peak season (harvest), weekly working time does not exceed 60 hours.	  	X		
11.5	The records indicate that rest breaks/days are also guaranteed during peak season.		X		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 11: <i>(Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)</i>			Fully compliant		
Evidence/Remarks: The company and workers have sufficient control of the amount of overtime used per employee. No NC found for the working hours.					
Corrective Actions:					

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR GOOD PRACTICE

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA
ADDITIONAL SOCIAL BENEFITS	
R1	What other forms of social benefit does the company offer to employees, their families and/or the community? Please specify (incentives for good and safe working performance, bonus payment, support of professional development, social benefits, child care, improvement of social surroundings etc.).
Evidence/Remarks: Christmas party, pay 50 % of costs for exercise, company cottage, development of competence, sponsoring of sports activities for kids, activities for environment and culture. Summer party (grillfest). Given as a comment that the employee's representative for sea sites in Finnmark did not have access to 50 % coverage for health training which is common for the employees. This was clarified as a misunderstanding and proper information is given to this person.	